

**VILLAGE OF LAKE BLUFF
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
REGULAR MEETING
JANUARY 14, 2019**

APPROVED MINUTES

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Village President O’Hara called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Lake Bluff Village Hall Board Room, and Village Clerk Joy Markee called the roll.

The following were present:

Village President: Kathleen O’Hara

Trustees: Barbara Ankenman
Mark Dewart
Eric Grenier
Paul Lemieux
William Meyer
Aaron Towle

Also Present: Joy Markee, Village Clerk
Peter Friedman, Village Attorney
Drew Irvin, Village Administrator
Bettina O’Connell, Finance Director
David Belmonte, Police Chief
David Graf, Fire Chief
Jeff Hansen, Village Engineer
Glen Cole, Assistant to the Village Administrator (A to VA)

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

President O’Hara led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 10, 2018 VILLAGE BOARD MEETING

Trustee Ankenman moved to approve the December 10, 2018 Board of Trustees Regular Meeting Minutes as amended. Trustee Lemieux seconded the motion. The motion passed on a unanimous voice vote.

4. NON-AGENDA ITEMS AND VISITORS

President O’Hara stated the Village President and Board of Trustees allocate fifteen minutes for those individuals who would like the opportunity to address the Village Board on any matter not listed on the

agenda. Each person addressing the Village Board of Trustees is asked to limit their comments to a maximum of five (5) minutes.

There were no requests to address the Board.

5. ITEM # 5 – VILLAGE BOARD SETS THE ORDER OF THE MEETING

There were no requests to change the order of the meeting.

6. ITEM #6A – WARRANT REPORT FOR DECEMBER 16-31, 2018 AND JANUARY 1-15, 2019 AND DECEMBER 2018 PAYROLL EXPENDITURES

President O’Hara reported expenditure of Village funds for payment of invoices in the amount of \$247,811.99 for December 16-31, 2018, payment of invoices in the amount of \$708,876.77 for January 1-15, 2019, and Village funds for payroll in the amount of \$313,461.76 for December 2018, which resulted in total expenditures of \$1,270,150.52.

As there were no questions from the Board, Trustee Grenier moved to approve the Warrant Report. Trustee Meyer seconded the motion. The motion passed on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: (6) Ankenman, Dewart, Grenier, Lemieux, Meyer and Towle
Nays: (0)
Absent: (0)

7. ITEM #7A – VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR’S REPORT: REQUEST FROM THE LAKE BLUFF PARK DISTRICT TO WAIVE BUILDING PERMIT FEES ASSOCIATED WITH THE REMODELING OF THE GOLF CLUBHOUSE

Village Administrator Irvin reported the Village received a request from Lake Bluff Park District Board President, Rob Douglass, to waive all permit fees associated with the remodel of the Golf Clubhouse. As the cost of construction is \$195,000, without a waiver the permit fees would be \$5,850.

In response to a question from Trustee Lemieux, Village Administrator Irvin said the Village will incur out of pocket cost because the intent is to have the City of Lake Forest review the plans.

Following a brief discussion, Trustee Lemieux moved to waive the permit fees, excluding the plan review cost, for the Golf Clubhouse remodel project. Trustee Dewart seconded the motion. The motion passed on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: (6) Dewart, Grenier, Lemieux, Meyer, Towle and Ankenman
Nays: (0)
Absent: (0)

8. ITEM #8 – VILLAGE ATTORNEY’S REPORT

Village Attorney Peter Friedman had no report.

9. ITEM #9 – VILLAGE PRESIDENT’S REPORT

President O'Hara had no report.

10. ITEMS #10 AND #11 – CONSENT AGENDA

President O'Hara introduced the following Consent Agenda items for consideration:

10. Correspondence Delivered in the December 7, 14, 21, 28, 2018 and January 4, 2019 Informational Reports; and
11. A Resolution Authorizing Certain Village Officials' Signatures with Northern Trust Bank.

As there were no comments from the Board, Trustee Towle moved to approve the consent agenda. Trustee Grenier seconded the motion. The motion passed on a unanimous voice vote.

11. ITEM #12 – A RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH GOVHR USA (Chief of Police Recruitment and Assessment)

President O'Hara thanked Police Chief Belmonte for doing a great job. She reported on December 27, 2018 Lake Bluff Police Chief David Belmonte announced his retirement effective March 1, 2019 after 31 years of police service. Shortly after Chief Belmonte's announcement, public management consulting firm GovHR USA (Northbrook, Illinois) was engaged to complete a recruitment process for the next Lake Bluff Chief of Police in an amount not to exceed \$18,500. That scope of work includes:

- the development of position marketing materials,
- advertising and recruitment,
- candidate evaluation and screening,
- presentation of recommended candidates,
- development and orchestration of the interview process, and
- assistance with the appointment of the final candidate.

President O'Hara reported in addition to the recruitment process outlined above, the Village has benefitted by using Assessment Centers as part of the department head candidate evaluation process (including the hiring of Chief Belmonte) to help differentiate the candidates' skills, knowledge and abilities and Staff. Because the combination of the recruitment process expenses and the Assessment Center fee (\$6,000) will exceed the Village Administrator's authority pursuant to the Village's Purchasing Policy, the Village Board must consider approval of the Professional Services Agreement (total engagement not to exceed \$24,500).

Following a comment from Trustee Dewart, President O'Hara said the recruitment process has been very successful and the assessment center process provides insight of the final candidates. She commented on the recruitment process and said a committee consisting of former Village President Christine Letchinger, Fire Chief David Graf, Chairman of the Board of Police and Fire Commissioners, Trustee Meyers and two other members, will select the candidates that will undergo the assessment process.

As there were no further comments from the Board, Trustee Dewart moved to adopt the resolution. Trustee Meyer seconded the motion. The motion passed on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: (6) Grenier, Lemieux, Meyer, Towle, Ankenman and Dewart
Nays: (0)
Absent: (0)

12. ITEM #13 – SECOND READING OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE LAKE BLUFF MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING HISTORIC PRESERVATION

President O’Hara reported the preservation of historically, culturally, and architecturally significant residential properties in the Village is necessary to maintain the essential character of the Village and to protect the value of properties in the Village. The Village desires to promote the preservation of older homes while ensuring that homeowners are provided flexibility to adapt all homes to the changing needs of families and the community. President O’Hara further reported on February 12, 2001, the Lake Bluff Board of Trustees adopted the Village’s Historic Preservation Ordinance (HPO) as Ordinance No. 2001-03, which amended the Lake Bluff Municipal Code to provide a set of regulations for the designation and protection of landmarks within the Village (HPO). The HPO has been amended several times since its adoption in 2001 to make the preservation of landmarks within the Village more efficient and to better advance the Village’s goals. The proposed amendments are recommended to make the process for designating a property as a landmark more efficient and to add additional clarity to the Lake Bluff Municipal Code.

President O’Hara reported the Board considered first reading of this ordinance at its November 26, 2018 meeting and discussed the ordinance at length at its December 10, 2018 meeting. Based on Board member comments at the December meeting and additional research by Staff, a new Demolition Site Management Plan (DSMP) submittal requirement has been incorporated into the ordinance. Specifically, the new section of the ordinance states that an applicant seeking a demolition permit will need to provide a Hazardous Construction Materials Remediation Plan (for asbestos, lead-based paint, and creosote treated materials) and a demolition schedule to enable inspection of demolition activities when Hazardous Construction Materials are believed/known to be disturbed, removed or remain during construction. Including this requirement reflects industry best-practices to ensure public health.

Following the introduction of the agenda item, Trustee Ankenman disclosed that she works for the property owner’s architect of record then recused herself.

Trustee Lemieux moved to approve the ordinance. Trustee Dewart seconded the motion. A discussion followed.

Village Administrator Irvin elaborated on the proposed changes since the last meeting which applies to the type of information required to be submitted as part of the DSMP, specifically hazardous materials. Pursuant to Section 9-1-18 of the Code, the application for a demolition permit shall include information regarding asbestos containing materials, lead based paint, creosote treated materials, and underground storage tanks (collectively “Hazardous Construction Materials”). He said buildings constructed after 1978 will be treated differently because of a change in asbestos regulations at that time. Also, there will be a demolition schedule required as part of the DSMP. The requirements will put responsibility on the home owners to ensure that demolitions are environmentally safe.

In response to a question from Trustee Lemieux, Village Administrator Irvin confirmed that the Village has the authority, pursuant to the proposed ordinance, to deem a Hazardous Construction Materials Remediation Plan acceptable or unacceptable.

In response to a comment from President O’Hara, Village Administrator Irvin said the hazardous material requirements are more extensive than most communities because not many ask for information to conduct

an onsite inspection. However, there are a lot of commonalities with demolition requirements such as construction fencing, dust control and notification requirements.

President O'Hara opened the floor for question from the Board.

Trustee Meyer asked does the proposed ordinance render "moot" the pending legal action related to this matter.

Trustee Lemieux said he thinks Staff did a good job addressing some of the previous concerns and he likes the proposed ordinance.

Village Administrator Irvin said the proposed amendments were intended to:

- Align or make consistent the submission requirements for the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) review of a significant demolition of a non-landmarked property with the information needed to consider the landmarking of a property,
- Clarify the purpose and process for landmark review is distinct from the purpose and process for obtaining a demolition permit,
- Clarify a property that is already landmarked is not required to again go through the 90-day landmark nomination process, and
- Clarify the advisory review period of landmarked property does not run simultaneously with the nomination period.

Village Administrator Irvin said subsequent amendments were drafted after the December 10, 2018 Board Meeting to consider inclusion of environmental requirements and submittals.

In response to the aforementioned question from Trustee Meyer, Village Attorney Friedman confirmed that the proposed ordinance will "moot" any pending legal action.

President O'Hara opened the floor for public comments.

Ed Wynn said he appreciate the Board's consideration of the issues raised at the December 10, 2018 Board Meeting. He said we continue to disagree about the purpose of the ordinance, but he acknowledges the Board's intent to amend the ordinance. He said that, without waiving his position about the purpose of the ordinance, he requested revisions to make environmental protections mandatory and require written waiver determinations. Mr. Wynn explained his rationale regarding the environmental hazardous language he frets "knowledge" language would result (unintentionally) in developers not doing any environmental assessment at all to avoid having knowledge.

Mr. Wynn explained his request to require written exercises of discretion. No requirement to document determination would make determination unreviewable even by the Village Board and would create potential issues and liability. He explained his context for revisions stating there are currently 3 separate site plan provision in the code: Section 9-1-5.H.3: mandatory requirements (waivable for minor projects only) – includes tree and stormwater protections; Section 9-1-18.D: demolition site plan requirements (currently mandatory, proposed to be discretionary); and Section 9-1-26: additional site plan requirements (building commissioner must consider but does not have to impose).

Mr. Wynn explained his goal stating amendments should not result in less protection of environment (asbestos, lead, etc.); trees; drainage/stormwater issues because these issues affect neighbors/neighboring

properties and the community. He explained his alternative approaches stating alternative 1 – add environmental, tree and stormwater/drainage protection to Section 9-1-5.H of the Code so that they are always required; and alternative 2 – create two categories of DSMP requirements, one that are mandatory, and ones that may be waived to Section 9.1.18.

Mr. Wynn explained his definitional approaches for hazardous materials:

- Incorporate Illinois Statutory Definition (415 ILCS 5/3/160 et seq.), or list specific contaminants of concern i.e. ACMs, lead, creosote.
- Inspection/certification requirements: require affidavit/certification that no contaminants present or codify current practice with date modification; (Post 1978 – visual inspection and report only; 1978 or prior (or if visual inspection identifies suspect materials) – sampling and report with remediation approval by building commissioner.

Mr. Wynn said his remaining issues are as follows:

- Confirm that revised proposal (if adopted) would apply to 419 East Prospect Avenue. If so, would appear to resolve pending litigation against the Village, which could be dismissed. If not, resolve with owner in writing or by documented compliance, then lawsuit could be dismissed;
- He desires to memorialize an agreement with owner about preservation of landmarked structure;
- Strongly encourage and recommend: conform the current demolition application to the revised Code, significant code review to remove additional ambiguities and potential litigation, and, consistent with President O’Hara’s December comments, need holistic review of issues related to demolition, historic preservation and property rights; and
- Resolution of existing leaking underground storage tanks (LUST).

Mr. Wynn reviewed outstanding Illinois Environmental Protection Reports regarding LUSTs according to phase 1 environmental for 400 East Center Avenue there were a total of 6 LUSTs and current there are 3 un-remediated LUSTs in east Lake Bluff. The materials previously provided to the Village Board show potential negative environmental impacts from LUSTs, especially on groundwater, and he requested the Village review and make determination as to these LUSTs given rising Village water table. Lastly, Mr. Wynn explained his findings and conclusions regarding hazardous materials and demolition requirements in comparison to the demolition applications he presented from for the City of Lake Forest, City of Highland Park, Village of Glencoe and Village of Hinsdale.

Village Administrator Irvin thanked Mr. Wynn for assisting with the proposed amendments. In regards to the application he thinks the Village has tried to err on the side of simplicity but if the proposed ordinance is approved, the application can be modified to better fit the ordinance.

In response to questions from Trustee Lemieux, Village Administrator Irvin said Mr. Wynn’s proposed change, “the specific itemized list of well-known documented hazardous materials”, was incorporated in Section 9-1-5 of the proposed ordinance. The amendment was written in a manner in which the code is used and interpreted today. Should there be any additional hazard, the Building Commissioner has the authority to require additional information. Village Attorney Friedman said a provision of the DSMP states “the following hazardous materials are required as a minimum,” therefore, the Building Commissioner will always have the ability to request additional information.

Village Administrator Irvin said the requirement to have a remediation plan when there are known hazards present has been Village practice for years. This will codify this practice and specify the requirements moving forward.

President O'Hara suggested Staff continue to review the process in terms of the application form.

Village Attorney Friedman explained the procedural action required for second reading of the proposed ordinance.

Kate Briand said it was suggested that delaying collection of demolition fees may persuade an applicant to consider other alternatives, but based on her review of the HPC applications available online, this has only occurred twice. She commented on the amendment to Section 9-1-18D – DSMP Approval Content “unless determined in writing by the Building Commissioner, that such requirements is unnecessary”. Currently the Village Administrator and Building Commissioner are the same person and she asked if the tension between the two roles could become a conflict. She asked if it has been discussed that the roles may conflict at times and the desire to develop property in town may run counter to the duties of the Building Commissioner. She asked if there will be a time when the Building Commissioner would have to hand over his role to someone else because he felt conflicted and when would the discretion not be appropriate. Ms. Briand said to protect from possible litigation a process to review the Building Commissioner's discretion should be established.

Village Administrator Irvin said the action this evening is the result of a process review and he commented on the venues available, depending on the specific issue, which can be used to appeal a particular process. He said to his knowledge there have been no conflicts with the roles. The Village Administrator is charged with ensuring that all the policies and procedures of the Village are carried out, he said that a conflict between two Village policies would possibly cause the roles to conflict but he has not encountered this.

Village Attorney Friedman said it is not unusual in small communities for an individual to serve dual roles. He thinks the Village Code does a good job of keeping the roles/responsibilities separate which in this case mattered because it determined which body was responsible for reviewing the Building Commissioner's decision. Village Attorney Friedman said an individual whether operating as Building Commissioner or Village Administrator has the obligation under their employment to carry out the Village Code.

Trustee Meyer commented that behavioral economic studies have indicated that once a fee is paid a person tends to perceive themselves both invested and entitled to proceed with the process for which they paid. He went on to say that in light of those academic studies that delaying collection fees would improve the chance of avoiding tear downs. He would be opposed to changing anything in this regard.

Trustee Lemieux said his comment regarding the purpose of fees was to share information with the Board. The comment was not specifically addressing the Village fees and he commented on the example which he cited regarding an accelerated fee schedule for false alarms.

In response to comments from Mr. Wynn, Village Administrator Irvin said Staff will review and probably modify the application to some degree. Also, Staff will present to the Board additional possible amendments to the HPO.

In response to a comment from Mr. Wynn, President O'Hara commented on her meetings with HPC Chair Steven Kraus regarding ways to improve the current HPO. She have stated numerous times that the Village has the weakest HPO on the North Shore. She questioned how to balance preservation with property rights. The Village talks a good game regarding historic preservation but quite often does the “NIMBY” approach when asked to restrict their own choices. Moving forward, she believes the Village

should proceed with a holistic, not piece meal approach, regarding preservation. The HPC and Board will conduct a joint workshop in February 2019 to discuss the Village goals regarding preservation.

In response to a comment from Trustee Dewart, President O’Hara said she thinks community support has to be engaged and commented on previous community opposition regarding the HPC’s desire to create historic districts.

Mr. Wynn said he thinks the Village needs to close out the three LUSTs and that any future reports of this nature should be promptly reviewed and closed. The holistic approach is a good recommendation because the Village should pursue common goals and objectives that will unite all residents. President O’Hara agreed that goals and objectives should have some commonality before moving forward.

Trustee Meyer made a motion to approve on second reading as amended. Trustee Lemieux seconded the motion. The motion passed on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: (5) Lemieux, Meyer, Towle, Dewart and Grenier
Nays: (0)
Absent: (0)

Trustee Ankenman returned to the dais and resumed her position as Trustee.

13. ITEM #14 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE LAKE BLUFF ZONING REGULATIONS TO CREATE A RECREATIONAL, INSTITUTIONAL, AND OPEN SPACE (RIO) DISTRICT

President O’Hara reported since January 2017, the Plan Commission and Zoning Board of Appeals (PCZBA) has studied recreational, institutional, and open space (RIO) uses within the Village as recommended by the 1997 Comprehensive Plan. After consultation with affected agencies and considerable public outreach, the PCZBA unanimously recommended the Village Board establish a new zoning district, the RIO District, and impose upon it an entirely new set of development regulations. She further reported this is one of three ordinances necessary to implement the RIO District:

- Establish the RIO district (text amendment)
- Rezone properties into the RIO district (map amendment)
- Establish fees for RIO development processes (fee schedule amendment)

President O’Hara reported this ordinance will establish the RIO District and its development regulations. The critical piece of the regulations is a new review process, RIO Development Plan Review. A unique challenge in drafting the regulations was the need to address already developed sites that range in size from less than an acre to over 100 acres. Rather than try to write regulations that account for every existing circumstance, the PCZBA has designed the RIO District to create regulatory flexibility for applicants through the same process that provides community review. President O’Hara further reported an applicant asks to establish a RIO Development Plan when a change is proposed, which authorizes five years of development including any deviations necessary from the text of the Zoning Regulations. The Plan forms the foundation for subsequent phases or changes to be reviewed by the Architectural Board of Review (ABR) in the same manner that changes proposed by an ordinary business are reviewed. The Village Board has the opportunity to confirm that changes recommended by the ABR conform to the approved RIO Development Plan. Every process is aligned to use the same materials and eliminate redundancy while preserving oversight.

Following a request from President O'Hara, AVA Cole began the presentation with the proposed uses for the new District which will include: Churches, Libraries, Public Agency Buildings, Museums, Recreational and Leisure Facilities, Schools and Open Space. He provided background information regarding the process which consisted of the proposed classification in the 1997 Comprehensive Plan, PCZBA review in January 2016, focused individual parcel study for past year, outreach to affected agencies and outreach to public. AVA Cole reviewed the current issues associated with the Zoning Ordinance and possible solutions.

AVA Cole explained the flow charts associated with current ideal, real zoning practice and the process after RIO adoption. AVA Cole showed slides of the objectives in the proposed ordinance, reviewed considerations for development plans, flowchart for the post-plan changes and foundation of future development. He described the PCZBA conceptual and detail involvement and new RIO Development Plan Review process. AVA Cole commented on other provisions that would be applicable to properties zoned into the District concerning signage, bulk, and parking using the Smedbo property as an example. The proposed changes will require notice, public review and extensive grandfathering so as not to burden existing users. AVA Cole commented on the responses received during the outreach process from the Lake Bluff Park District, Central Lake County Joint Action Water Agency (CLCJAWA) and Stephanie Bjork specifically regarding the protection of conservation easements.

AVA Cole reviewed the proposed map amendments noting that approximately 200 individual parcels are being considered for relocation and that the interactive map was available on the Village website. He showed a slide of the criteria for Staff recommendations and commented on the zoning code description of the criteria.

AVA Cole reviewed the comprehensive fee schedule associated with RIO District fees. The PCZBA recommend the fees for projects in the RIO District be set at \$0 but continue to seek reimbursable costs which is consistent with the Board's recommendation. Lastly, he showed and explained the colored map amendment.

In response to a question from Trustee Towle, AVA Cole said there have been no request from property owners to place their property into the RIO District. There have been discussions with one private property owner, located in the Skokie Preserve, who was upset because the property was included in the RIO District.

In response to a comment from Village Administrator Irvin, AVA Cole said he believes there are three remaining lots platted long ago which remain in private ownership that the Village has tried to acquire. Only one property owner has responded to the RIO rezoning to date.

Trustee Lemieux thanked the PCZBA for addressing these type of matters and asked if the Park District and CLCJAWA were satisfied with the answers provided. AVA Cole said Ron Salski, Executive Director of the Lake Bluff Park District, personally appeared at the meeting and said he was grateful and appreciative for the responses. Staff have not heard from CLCJAWA but there was a change made which addressed their concern.

Village Attorney Friedman said he does not know if the Village has convinced Ms. Bjork that the RIO Zoning District and conservation easements can coexist but Staff does not believe the proposed text amendments will alter the effectiveness of a conservation easement.

Trustee Dewart said he thinks the proposed amendments will clarify some very distinctive property classifications in the Village that otherwise would not fit the “square peg, round hole” metaphor. Also, he thinks this will allow the Village an opportunity to proactively handle such properties and tailor them in such a way to optimize any changes but not overburden other residential things which might not fit.

Trustee Grenier commented on the 2007 Elementary School construction project and said it might be a good idea to go back and see how this process might have affected the project. A brief discussion followed.

President O’Hara expressed her understanding that the Park District Board previously discussed selling some of its open space/property to alleviate financial issues, specifically the swath of open space land on Sheridan Road near the entrance to the middle school. She is concerned because the underlying zoning for the property is residential and if sold there could be several houses built on that open land. This is a hallmark of our community and she thinks this rezoning is great protection because it provides the Village and community with an opportunity to weigh-in on various components of what they may or may not want developed. She thanked the PCZBA for their hard work.

In response to comments from Mr. Wynn regarding the Crabtree Farm property, AVA Cole said the properties are not included in the RIO Zoning District. The Crabtree Farm complex consists of residential parcels with considerable conservation easements and areas dedicated to conservation. He commented on the zoning particularities associated with the property as well as the treatment of subdivision open spaces located throughout the Village that are not included in RIO. He advised that parcels may be removed from the proposed RIO District but a new public hearing is required to add new parcels. Also, a legal description for each parcel must be published and notices mailed to property owners.

Mr. Wynn asked if there were any plans or discussions regarding extinguishing, ending or changing the conservation easements or terms for the Crabtree Farm property. Village Attorney Friedman said he is not aware of any, nor is the Village a party to the Crabtree Farm conservation easements.

AVA Cole recognized the contributions of Village Staff, former Planning Commission Members, current PCZBA and municipal partners for their work on the project.

Trustee Dewart moved to approve first reading of the ordinance. Trustee Towle seconded the motion. The motion passed on a unanimous voice vote.

14. ITEM #15 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP OF LAKE BLUFF AND REZONING PROPERTIES INTO THE RECREATIONAL, INSTITUTIONAL, AND OPEN SPACE (RIO) DISTRICT

Following President O’Hara’s introduction of the agenda item, Trustee Towle moved to approve first reading of the ordinance. Trustee Lemieux seconded the motion. The motion passed on a unanimous voice vote.

15. ITEM #16 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE I OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING THE COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE (RIO District Fees)

Following President O’Hara’s introduction of the agenda item, Trustee Dewart moved to approve first reading of the ordinance. Trustee Grenier seconded the motion. The motion passed on a unanimous voice vote.

16. ITEM #17 – TRUSTEE’S REPORT

Trustee Towle, Chair of the Beach Parking Ad Hoc Committee, reported the Committee met to review the public comments concerning the proposal. There was a lot of significant comments received most of which were negative in regards to the proposed parking stalls along East Center Avenue. The Committee did make good progress with its recommendation to the Board to restrict parking on the north/south streets (Simpson and Maple) as well as codify no parking on Mountain Avenue. He said further discussions are needed to consider additional recommendations. Also, the Committee will continue working with the Park District to ensure the new/existing regulations are enforced and that additional studies regarding actual beach visitors (resident/non-resident) are done.

17. ITEM #18 – EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 8:41 p.m. Trustee Dewart moved to enter into Executive Session for the purpose of discussing Personnel (5 ILCS 120/2(c)(2)), Threatened Litigation (5 ILCS 120/2(c)(11)), Collective Negotiating Matters (5 ILCS 120/2(c)(2)) and Minutes (5 ILCS 120/2(c)(21)). Trustee Lemieux seconded the motion. The motion passed on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: (6) Meyer, Towle, Ankenman, Dewart, Grenier and Lemieux
Nays: (0)
Absent: (0)

There being no further business to discuss, Trustee Lemieux moved to adjourn out of executive session. Trustee Meyer seconded the motion and the motion passed on a unanimous voice vote at 8:48 p.m.

18. ITEM #19 – CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 10, 2018 EXECUTIVE SESSION MEETING

Trustee Dewart moved to approve the December 10, 2018 Board of Trustees Executive Session Meeting Minutes as presented. Trustee Grenier seconded the motion. The motion passed on a unanimous voice vote.

19. ITEM #20 – ADJOURNMENT

Trustee Lemieux moved to adjourn the regular meeting. Trustee Towle seconded the motion and the motion passed on a unanimous voice vote. The meeting adjourned at 8:48 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

R. Drew Irvin
Village Administrator

Joy Markee
Village Clerk